

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,244	08/02/2006	Alessandro Mondadori	7366E.US	7228
1218 CASELLA & F	7590 10/29/2007 HESPOS	EXAMINER		
274 MADISON AVENUE			NGUYEN, HOANG V	
NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A sties a Cons	10/588,244	MONDADORI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoang V. Nguyen	2821			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 A	uaust 2006.				
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	*				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application Number: 10/588,244 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindenmeier et al (US 6,236,372 B1).

Lindenmeier (Figure 2) discloses an antenna system for a station wagon type vehicle comprising an opening rear window provided with a defrosting network 20 and a quarter panel window 6, the antenna system comprising a first antenna comprising an aerial produced by screen printing on the rear window and incorporating the defrosting network, the said aerial comprising two vertical lines extending symmetrically with respect to the middle longitudinal vertical plane of the vehicle and being superimposed with the defrosting network, a second antenna 1 comprising an aerial produced by screen printing on the quarter panel window, and an electronic circuit 9 located in the proximity of each of the aerials of the first and second antennas, and the aerial of the FM antenna having an earth line 11 (Figure 10), wherein the first antenna is an FM2 antenna intended to receive frequency modulated radio waves in the band 76 MHz to 108 MHz.

Lindenmeier fails to specifically teach that the second antenna is a remote keyless entry antenna intended to receive waves having a frequency of 434 MHz or 315 MHz, and that the earth line having a length of the order of 530 mm and serving as an earth for the FM signal.

Application Number: 10/588,244

Art Unit: 2821

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dimension the second antenna so it can operate in remote keyless entry frequency range and the length of the earth line to be approximately 530 mm, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindenmeier et al in view of Peresano (WO 93/18634 A).

Lindenmeier discloses the claimed invention except that the defrosting network has a U-shape, the arms of which are directed upwards. Peresano (Figure 2) discloses a defrosting network 6 for a window glass of a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Lindenmeier antenna system having the defrosting network having a U-shape and the arms directing upwards, as taught by Peresano, doing would obtain the desired heating and snow removal results.

4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindenmeier et al in view of Talty (US 5,510,804).

Regarding claim 5, Lindenmeier discloses the claimed invention except mentioning that the aerial of the remote keyless entry antenna is in the shape of an F. Talty (Figure 4) discloses a remote keyless entry antenna 10 for use on a window glass of a motor vehicle, the antenna having an F-shaped. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Lindenmeier antenna system with the remote keyless entry antenna having an F-shape, as taught by Talty, doing so would yield an optimum radiation characteristics for a desired application.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 6, as applied to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the remote keyless entry antenna operate at 434 MHz with an impedance of 50 ohms at its power supply point.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 4 and 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 3, Lindenmeier discloses the claimed invention except that the FM2 antenna comprises a two-wire cable to pick up the FM signal received by its aerial and to transmit this signal to an electronic housing, the two-wire cable comprising an earth wire connected to the earth line and an FM signal wire connected to the symmetrical lines.

Claim 4 would have been found allowable for depending on claim 3.

Regarding claim 7, Lindenmeier fails to further teach, in combination with other limitations, that the aerial of the remote keyless entry antenna has a screen printed earth line of a length of the order of 150 mm and serving as an earth for the 434 MHz signal.

Claims 8 and 9 would have been found for depending on claim 7.

Application Number: 10/588,244

Art Unit: 2821

Inquiry

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hvn 10/17/07

HOANG V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER